

Popular morality accepts
new threats that it is directed
to him.

1) Bluffs ("no intent")

But: Humble?

(intent?)

or 2) Revenge (retal. or kind - even
revenge)

and (less assuredly):

3) ^{a)} ~~intended~~ ^{b)} ~~to be~~ ^{c)} ~~very~~ limited (as
many targets)

Each implies a demand that,
for retal., is based on defence; the original
(b) was immediate. I also reject
(from (2)). That leaves (1) -

making analysis of its risks & bad
effects. ("If acceptable for retal. then
to deter nuclear attack - only not acceptable
to deter major (though lesser) civilizing
WWIII in Europe." Ode wins.)

Ideas (?) Previous: (Corny call,
Dinner? Dinner?)

1. One case meets a forecast,
a meeting of bluff, pin
"useful introduction, answering"
(on both sides)

Cuba II

|| [The under-estimate: this loss of control of "cycle
of (actual) war. See K to VSE, Friday night...

2. Pass war, with war, breakdown

crisis (if that is the case, what about ESC/FB)

|| (Like CBE, contemplating esc. to 500,000, in 1965 or
or for ESC, 1961; the on set, not now on set, on FCU)

(But see war on set, including Falklands;

beliefs that with 1) appears to will

break down, or fall apart quickly

and/or 2) war can be kept very limited.

(For example).

Even Hilber who "wanted war" expected
England & France to stay out of Poland;
the hope for UK to quit.

See hope for united war of war,
leading to quick victory (in IV hope, 1969;
VN WAR III! LIKE UK IN FALKLANDS!

16 Sept 82

DE Project

(see Monland, FS)

1. Analyze US strategy as

2) a structure/system of Threats
(Track) (Money) C/A, (CSR)
(RDF, FC, FG...) (no SALT; CW)
BUDGET; PREEMPTION; CD

(Do any is Reagan Admin want any
actual content? Demo?

Central America?

ME?

" any use of nucs? (Demo?)

" any use of sub nucs?

~~What is the role~~

Relig on various forms of "Madman Theory."

(Open up N's real attitude on relation of

nucs to deterrence: ~~Harsh~~)

HYP: ~~THE~~ LEADERSHIP (MAYBE BOTH) HAS BORROWED HITLER'S
ETHICS; HIS "MADMAN" STRATEGY; AND NOW (US) HIS "NO RETREAT" BULGE,
BUNKER/SCORCHED-EARTH!

2. What is real role of: a) Weapons labels
(Realist has a "trip-like" quality b) MIC? (Financial)
for them; like vision of Kushner; day, c) Mil. Keynesianism:
kill, fatalism (Officer?) High-Tech (NC).

3. How much is "Pol. Econ. of Core of Hegemony" — to
central allies (Soviet, NC, ...)

to what it was thought to be in 1960
(large number of soft minutes on both
sides.)

(I didn't see FU/FS aspect of "one" (my!)
plan then — or rather, ^{not} FS, and its relation
to FU, and not frequency & importance of FU threats).

I was trying to "reform" what I
thought were 2nd-stage plans — in the
process, making the later FS plans

I didn't pay attention to this, because I
didn't imagine anyone (except HK!)

— Nothing new about US FS threat.
or pre-emption — or belief in
I mutual perception that

(What is new is realizing of it! — thanks
to US and SC policies on CF and one
control in last 20 years!

it was thought to be in 1960
nodes of soft minutes on both
)

didn't see FU/FS aspect of "on" (my!)
the — or rather, ^{not} FS, and its relation
and not frequency & importance of FU threats).
was trying to "reform" what I
= were 2nd-stage plans — in the
s, making the better FS plans

with pay attention to this, because I
imagine again (except HK!)

they now about US FS threat.
pre-emption — or leading in
mutual perspective that

it is now is realizing of it! — that
at SU policies on CF and on
is least 20 years!

16 Sept 82

- Answer:

- Why is my expectation still relevant?

1 [What we believed reality to be ^{in 1960-61} has
not changed — it has finally come true!
And those who live on the are now
on top! (Perla - director of ANW

Luttwak, Gray — " " HK;

Naig — associate of " ;

Rosen — in CIA

Schles — Dep Sec!

Schles — still around,

Cohn — N. ^{started as SIDP...} ~~but~~

- This view of how to relate to this reality
have not changed.

(even N was here, in)

- No new weapons have appeared (e.g.,
space, lasers, new ASW...) (CM!)

only ^{SU} hardening (already on both sides, 1967-

- and MIRV + accuracy (+ US ASW!) which